

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/645,268	08/21/2003	Stephen Alan Smith	3177 P 425 7351			
7	7590 05/04/2006			EXAMINER		
Paul J. Nykaza, Esq.			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE			
Wallenstein Wagner & Rockey, Ltd. 53rd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	311 South Wacker Drive			3727		
Chicago, IL 60606-6630			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T.	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			10/645,268	SMITH ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
			Robin A. Hylton	3727		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHOWHIC WHIC - Externafter - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>25 Nov</u>	<u>vember 2005</u> .	•		
2a)	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowanc	e except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers			•		
9)⊠ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 20 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	003 is/are: a ction to the dr the correction	awing(s) be held in abeyance. Seen is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/645,268 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "sliding" engagement between the cover and overlay.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 16, reference character "264" is used in conjunction with both the annular skirt and the projection. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Applicant is requested to update the continuing data information of the first line of the instant specification in response to this Office action.

Drawings

- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "264" has been used to designate both annular skirt and projection. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 276a and 276b. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the

Application/Control Number: 10/645,268 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. Claims 7,8,14,15, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. there is no support in the disclosure as originally filed for "sliding engagement" between the cover and overlay. The specification and drawings disclose there is rotational engagement. This may or may not be accompanied by sliding of the overlay with respect to the cover depending upon the degree of separation between them. Since the disclosure does not specify "sliding" engagement, this is a **new matter** rejection.
- 7. Claims 1-16,18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the claims are rejected for the following reasons:

There is insufficient antecedent basis for "the aperture" in the claims 1-16. The limitation should read -- the at least one aperture -- /

Claim 18 depends from itself.

Dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as depending from rejected base claims since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b). Art Unit: 3727

9. Claims 12,17 and 21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 24 of copending Application No. 10/954,827, US Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0127075. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each teaches a cover and overlay combination, the overlay mounted on the cover to obstruct an opening in the cover a first position relative thereto and to not obstruct the opening in a second position relative to the cover. The instant claims further set forth the cover and overlay are rotationally connected. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set forth the rotationally connection between the cover and overlay to more clearly set forth the invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-23 appear to be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and/or 2nd paragraph or non-statutory double patenting, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

11. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/645,268

Art Unit: 3727

and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

- 12. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 13. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The U	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Appl J.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 57				
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate				
	Signature				
	Date				

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Art Unit: 3727

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH May 1, 2006

> Robin A. Livitor Primary Examiner GAU 3727